Application No.: 10/565,210 Response to Office Action Attorney Docket No. 22409-00312-US dated December 10, 2008

REMARKS

1. An Office Action requiring Applicants to elect a single invention for prosecution on the

merits was mailed December 10, 2008. Claims 1-38 were last presented for examination. By the

foregoing Amendments, no claims have been amended, added or cancelled. Upon entry of this

paper, claims 1-38 will remain pending in this application. Of these thrity-eight (38) claims,

seven (7) claims (claims 1, 7, 12, 26, 28, 29, and 34) are independent.

2. In response to the Election/Restriction Requirement, Applicants submit this Response to

Election/Restriction Requirement.

Election/Restriction

3. The Examiner has required the election of a single invention for prosecution on the merits.

The Examiner alleged that the originally filed claims are directed to the following four (4)

patentably distinct inventions:

I. Claims 1-6, drawn to method for managing and testing battery while checking for

removal of the battery, classified in class 429, subclass 122.

II. Claims 7-11 and 34-38, drawn to structure of battery charger which tests and

isolates the battery from the source, classified in class 320, subclass 107.

III. Claims 12-25 and 29-33, drawn to battery cyclic charging/discharging with

current/voltage measuring, classified in class 320, subclass 130.

IV. Claims 26-28, drawn to device for characterizing battery and delivering decreasing

step-function flow to battery, classified in class 702, subclass 63.

Election

4. In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.143 and MPEP 818.03(b), Applicants hereby elect, without

traverse, the claims of Group III, namely, claims 12-25 and 29-33.

5. Applicants do not intend to dedicate non-elected claims to the public and reserve the right

to file divisional applications for the subject matter covered by the non-elected claims.

6. The inventorship for the invention of the elected claims is the same as the inventorship of

record in this application.

10

Application No.: 10/565,210 Response to Office Action Attorney Docket No. 22409-00312-US dated December 10, 2008

Conclusion

7. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance and favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Dated: January 12, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Michael G. Verga/ Michael G. Verga Registration No.: 39,410 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP 1875 Eye Street, NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 331-7111

(202) 293-6229 (Fax) Attorney for Applicant